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Abstract
Combining various types of ferrites brings about magnetic properties desirable for different applications. This study aims to modify barium hexaferrite (BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$) by physically mixing it with cobalt ferrite (CoFe$_2$O$_4$). BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ magnets were produced by ball-milling and pressing sol-gel-derived ferrite powders. The ferrite composites showed variations in magnetic properties from BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ magnets with a saturation magnetization of 69.46 emu/g and a maximum energy product of 0.4529 MGOe. For the BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$:CoFe$_2$O$_4$ weight ratio of 4:1, both saturation and remanent magnetizations were increased due to the addition of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ with high magnetizations. However, the magnetizations were reduced when the BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$:CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ratio was reduced to 2:1. On the other hand, the coercivity was monotonously decreased with increasing CoFe$_2$O$_4$. Interestingly, the maximum energy product in this study was linearly decreased with the bulk density of the magnets from 3.59 to 3.15 g/cm$^3$. It is concluded that magnetic properties could be modified from a facile physical mixing of ferrites.
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Abstract

Combining various types of ferrites brings about magnetic properties desirable for different applications. This study aims to modify barium hexaferrite (BaFe12O19) by physically mixing it with cobalt ferrite (CoFe2O4). BaFe12O19/CoFe2O4 magnets were produced by ball-milling and pressing sol–gel-derived ferrite powders. The ferrite composites showed variations in magnetic properties from BaFe12O19 magnets with a saturation magnetization of 69.46 emu/g and a maximum energy product of 0.4529 MGOe. For the BaFe12O19:CoFe2O4 weight ratio of 4:1, both saturation and remanent magnetizations were increased due to the addition of CoFe2O4 with high magnetizations. However, the magnetizations were reduced when the BaFe12O19:CoFe2O4 ratio was reduced to 2:1. On the other hand, the coercivity was monotonously decreased with increasing CoFe2O4. Interestingly, the maximum energy product in this study was linearly decreased with the bulk density of the magnets from 3.59 to 3.15 g/cm³. It is concluded that magnetic properties could be modified from a facile physical mixing of ferrites.
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1. Introduction

Nanostructured ferrites have a great range of applications in engineering and biomedicine. Renowned for their magnetic properties, ferrites at reduced dimensions also exhibit useful dielectric, photocatalytic, and antimicrobial properties. Such multifunctionality stems from different crystallographic structures and ion substitutions [1–5]. Among several types, barium hexaferrite (BaFe12O19) and strontium hexaferrite (SrFe12O19) have a hexagonal magnetoplumbite structure leading to a substantial crystalline anisotropy. These M-type hexaferrites exhibit high coercivity, providing a low-cost alternative to rare-earth permanent magnets [1,2]. In addition to a sizeable market share in permanent magnets, BaFe12O19 and SrFe12O19 have been implemented in data storage and microwave absorption [1,5]. On the other hand, spinel ferrites have a cubic structure resulting in lower coercivity. AFe2O4 (A2+ represents divalent cations) constitutes an important class of magnetic materials for sensors, high-frequency devices, photocatalyst, and data storage media [3,4]. To develop high-density perpendicular magnetic recording media, thin films of spinel cobalt ferrite (CoFe2O4) have been investigated [6,7].
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Kneller and Hawig proposed in 1991 that combining hard and soft magnetic phases brings about an exchange-spring magnetic coupling in composites [8]. The hard/soft composite magnets exhibit high coercivity of the hard phase and high saturation magnetization of the soft phase. Hard/soft composite ferrites possess high electrical resistivity and chemical stability. However, particulate composites composed of hard and soft ferrite phases have a lower maximum energy product than that predicted by the micromagnetic simulation [9–15]. In some cases, large coercivity and magnetization are not simultaneously achieved. The saturation magnetization is usually increased at the expense of coercivity. Nevertheless, the properties of these composite ferrites can be tailored for other applications, including electromagnetic wave absorbers and high-frequency devices.

Besides individual ferrite characteristics and phase compositions, the properties of composite ferrites depend on the microstructure, which in turn is influenced by the synthesis and heat treatment processes. Soft ferrites were mostly incorporated into hard ferrites using the physical mixing and ball-milling of powders [9–12]. Ferrite powders can be synthesized via the sol–gel route, and composite ferrites may be obtained in one pot without subsequent mixing [13,14]. Moreover, Tavakolinia et al. increased the exchange coupling between SrFe12O19 and Zn0.4Co0.2Ni0.4Fe2O4 prepared by the one-pot chemical synthesis [15].

CoFe2O4 is an interesting choice for producing composite ferrites because of its high saturation magnetization and large variation in coercivity. In addition to outstanding magnetic parameters, CoFe2O4 nanoparticles exhibit catalytic and antimicrobial properties, as reviewed by Mmelesi et al. [16]. Ion substitutions can tailor these properties in the sol–gel synthesis [17]. Furthermore, a large batch of CoFe2O4 nanoparticles can be synthesized from sol–gel reactions using environment-friendly chelating agents such as sago [18] and tapioca [19] starches. Incorporating CoFe2O4 through physical mixing [20], ball-milling [21], co-precipitation [22], or sol–gel synthesis [23], improves the magnetic and dielectric properties of SrFe12O19. For BaFe12O19/CoFe2O4 composites, the chemical co-precipitation has predominantly been used, and ball-milling is often employed to promote homogenization [24–26]. Other methods include the solid–state reaction [27] and the sol–gel route [28]. Interestingly, Davarpanah et al. also reported the antimicrobial activity of sol–gel-derived BaFe12O19/CoFe2O4 composites [28].

This study produces composite ferrites from sol–gel-derived BaFe12O19 and CoFe2O4 powders. Using the sol–gel route, high-purity ferrites can facilely be obtained at relatively low temperatures [29,30]. Importantly, the amounts of synthesized products are sufficient for powder compaction into bar magnets. The properties of magnets with the BaFe12O19:CoFe2O4 weight ratios of 2:1 and 4:1 are comparatively discussed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Fabrication of BaFe12O19 and CoFe2O4 powders

BaFe12O19 powders were synthesized by the sol–gel auto-combustion detailed in Ref. [31]. Raw materials were barium nitrate (Ba(NO3)2) (Himedia) and iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO3)3·9H2O) (Sigma–Aldrich) with citric acid as a chelating agent. The synthesized products were calcined for 3 h at 1050 °C. CoFe2O4 powders were obtained from the sol–gel reaction between (Co(NO3)2·6H2O) and iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO3)3·9H2O), purchased from Sigma–Aldrich, with tapioca starch as a chelating agent described in Ref. [19]. The synthesized products in this study were calcined for a longer time of 10 h at a lower temperature of 600 °C. Ground BaFe12O19 and CoFe2O4 powders were simultaneously milled in a 3D ball mill (Nagao System) at 400 rpm for 10 min. The weight ratio of zirconia balls (10 mm in diameter) to powder was 5:1. The mixed powder obtained from the process in Fig. 1a was sieved through 100 mesh.

2.2. Preparation of magnets by powder compaction

Bar magnets were obtained from the powder compaction illustrated in Fig. 1b. To promote powder binding, 6 drops of 0.2 g polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) in 3 mL N-Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) were mixed with BaFe12O19 and CoFe2O4 powders. Each sample was then filled in a stainless mold cell with a 5 mm × 20 mm cross-section. An automatic hydraulic machine applied the pressure of 70 kg/cm² for 5 min. Bar magnets were obtained from the BaFe12O19/CoFe2O4 weight ratios of 2:1 (sample 2BaFe12O19/1CoFe2O4) and 4:1 (sample 4BaFe12O19/1CoFe2O4). In the case of higher CoFe2O4 compositions than sample 2BaFe12O19/1CoFe2O4, bulk samples could not be formed under this compaction condition. Only loose powders were left, like CoFe2O4 powder compaction. After annealing at
200 °C to eliminate residual PVDF and NMP for 1 h, each bar magnet was measured and weighted to determine its density. To compare with BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ magnets, BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ powders were exclusively pressed and referred to as sample BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ magnet1. Without CoFe$_2$O$_4$, sample BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ magnet2 was also prepared with the binders and annealed for 1 h at 200 °C.

2.3. Characterization of magnets

Phase structures of magnets were characterized by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD; Rigaku, SmartLab, Austin, TX, USA) using a CuK$_\alpha$ radiation (1.54060 Å). A scanning electron microscope (SEM; FEI, Quanta 250, Hillsboro, OR, USA) revealed the surface morphology of magnets. A vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM; in-house developed and calibrated with Lakeshore 730908) measured a mass magnetization (M) as a function of varying magnetic field (H) between −17.5 kOe and 17.5 kOe. The magnetic properties of each magnet were determined from resulting hysteresis loops as follows. The remanent magnetization ($M_r$) and the coercivity ($H_c$) were the y- and x-intercepts, respectively. The maximum energy product (BH)$_{\text{max}}$ was calculated from the maximum area of rectangles fitted in the second quadrant. Because the magnetization is not saturated within the 17.5 kOe field, the saturation magnetization ($M_s$) was estimated from the plot between M and 1/H$^2$. Using the law of approach to saturation in a regime close to the maximum applied field with b as a constant:

\[
M = M_s \left[1 - \frac{b}{H^2}\right]
\]  

3. Results and discussion

In Fig. 2, the sol–gel-derived powders exhibit XRD patterns consistent with the standards of single-phase ferrites. The face-centered cubic CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase (JCPDS: 01-080-6487) is indexed by the diffraction from the (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), and (440) crystallographic planes at 30.23°, 35.61°, 37.25°, 43.28°, 53.70°, 57.25°, and 62.87°, respectively. For the hexagonal close packed BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ (JCPDS: 00-043-0002), the peaks at 30.31°,
When BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ powders are consolidated into magnets, the XRD patterns drastically change. The peak with the highest intensity, around 43° is in the vicinity of the minor BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ peak but closer to the major peak from the (111) plane of γ-Fe (JCPDS: 01-089-4185). This presence of secondary iron phase in both BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ magnet1 and BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ magnet2 indicates that PVDF and NMP in the powder compaction do not influence this phase formation. Only two minor BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ peaks from magnets pressed with and without the binders are different.

The XRD spectra of BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ magnets resemble those of BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ magnets because of their higher BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ compositions. In addition, the CoFe$_2$O$_4$ peaks from the (220), (311), and (222) planes are observed. The γ-Fe phase also exists in ferrite composites. Because Fig. 2 confirms the single-phase ferrite in each starting powder, the Fe phase formation is attributed to applied pressure and temperature in the preparation of magnets.

Calcination temperature is a critical factor in crystallizing ferrite phases. For BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$, the phase diagram of the Fe$_2$O$_3$–BaO system suggests the calcination temperature above 800 °C for 15 mol% BaO [32]. The experimental results by Hoque et al. revealed that the BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phases in composites were crystallized at 800 °C [24]. However, a homogeneous single-phase BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ was only obtained by calcining at 1000–1100 °C. This finding, consistent with other reports on enhancing BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ impurity and particle size at higher calcination temperatures [33,34], is confirmed in this study.

The SEM micrograph of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ in Fig. 3a shows some microclusters among nanoparticles. Smaller particles are advantageous because two phases should be in close contact to promote the exchange-spring magnetic coupling in composites [12]. Larger clusters with a flat surface are observed in BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$.
Agglomeration due to van der Waals forces is also prominent in SrFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ [11]. The voids between these clusters are filled with particles of the order of 100 nm. The effect of powder compaction is shown in Fig. 3c and d. Clusters in magnets are merged or brought in contact. Whereas the effect of ball-milling on the XRD peak broadening is not observed in Fig. 2, the SEM micrographs of BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ magnets in Fig. 3e and f reveal particles of the order of 100 nm without micro-clusters because of the ball-milling. These particles are packed among nanoparticles previously identified as the CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase. More nanoparticles in Fig. 3e are consistent with the CoFe$_2$O$_4$ increase in the sample 2BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$/1CoFe$_2$O$_4$.

In Fig. 3b, the hysteresis loop of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ powders is distinguished for its high magnetization. The remanent and saturation magnetizations listed in Table 1 are 33.32 and 71.22 emu/g, respectively. The previously reported saturation magnetization of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ is as high as 80 emu/g [35]. On the other hand, BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ powders exhibit lower magnetizations and a wide hysteresis loop, characteristics of hard magnetic materials. The coercivity of BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ powders (2,137.58 Oe) is substantially larger than that of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ (1,692.29 Oe). This coercivity of BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ is still much lower than those previously used in producing BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ composites [24,25], whereas the saturation magnetization of 65.19 emu/g is comparable.

In Fig. 4a, the hysteresis loop of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ powders is distinguished for its high magnetization. The remanent and saturation magnetizations listed in Table 1 are 33.32 and 71.22 emu/g, respectively. The previously reported saturation magnetization of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ is as high as 80 emu/g [35]. On the other hand, BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ powders exhibit lower magnetizations and a wide hysteresis loop, characteristics of hard magnetic materials. The coercivity of BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ powders (2,137.58 Oe) is substantially larger than that of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ (1,692.29 Oe). This coercivity of BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ is still much lower than those previously used in producing BaFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ composites [24,25], whereas the saturation magnetization of 65.19 emu/g is comparable.
After the powder compaction, the hysteresis loops of BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\) magnets in Fig. 4b are modified with higher coercivity and magnetizations. The Fe phase formation in the compaction shown by XRD does not have an adverse effect on the magnetic properties. As compared in Table 1, higher coercivity (2,467 Oe), remanent magnetization (33.20 emu/g), and saturation magnetization (69.46 emu/g) are obtained with the binder addition (BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\) magnet2). The influence of the binders in the powder compaction is related to the density. Adding binders reduces the porosity leading to a higher density of 3.59 g/cm\(^3\). In addition to higher magnetization, the coercivity is increased due to enhanced magnetic interaction between densely packed BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\) particles. The increases in magnetization and coercivity after consolidating BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\) powders were also reported by Timofeev et al. [36].

The CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) addition decreases the total density of the magnets to 3.47 and 3.15 g/cm\(^3\) when the BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\):CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) ratio is 4:1 and 2:1, respectively.

![Hysteresis loops of BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\) and CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) magnets.](image)

**Fig. 4.** Hysteresis loops of (a) BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\) and CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) powders, (b) BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\) magnets, and (c) BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\)/CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) magnets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Density (g/cm(^3))</th>
<th>Magnetic properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(H_c) (Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoFe(_2)O(_4) powder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,692.29 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaFe(<em>{12})O(</em>{19}) powder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2,137.58 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaFe(<em>{12})O(</em>{19}) magnet1</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2,404.86 ± 3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaFe(<em>{12})O(</em>{19}) magnet2</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>2,467.17 ± 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2BaFe(<em>{12})O(</em>{19})/1CoFe(_2)O(_4)</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2,112.99 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4BaFe(<em>{12})O(</em>{19})/1CoFe(_2)O(_4)</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2,241.79 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Density and magnetic properties of BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\)/CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) magnets compared to BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\) magnets as well as BaFe\(_{12}\)O\(_{19}\) and CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) powders.
These densities fall into the 3.0–4.1 g/cm³ range previously reported in BaFe₁₂O₁₉/CoFe₂O₄ composites from the co-precipitation [25]. In Fig. 4c, BaFe₁₂O₁₉/CoFe₂O₄ magnets exhibit smooth hysteresis loops from a single magnetization reversal process, indicating the magnetic coupling between BaFe₁₂O₁₉ and CoFe₂O₄ phases [20,23]. However, the Mᵣ/Mₛ ratios in Table 1 around 0.48 suggest that the coupling is weak. The comparable values were previously reported [21,23], but the Mᵣ/Mₛ ratio larger than 0.5 could also be obtained in SrFe₁₂O₁₉/CoFe₂O₄ composites [22].

The coercivity is reduced to below 2,400 Oe with increasing CoFe₂O₄ due to intervening CoFe₂O₄ particles among BaFe₁₂O₁₉. Notably, a higher BaFe₁₂O₁₉:CoFe₂O₄ ratio of 4:1 increases the magnetizations. Compared to the sample BaFe₁₂O₁₉ magnet2, both remanent and saturation magnetizations are increased by adding CoFe₂O₄ with a high magnetization. The saturation magnetization is higher than overall reports in BaFe₁₂O₁₉/CoFe₂O₄ composites [24–28]. However, a lower coercivity attributed to larger BaFe₁₂O₁₉ particles than those in the previous reports [24,25], results in the maximum energy product of only 0.4329 MGOe. For the BaFe₁₂O₁₉:CoFe₂O₄ ratio of 2:1, the value is further reduced to 0.3238 MGOe because increasing CoFe₂O₄ lower both coercivity and remanent magnetization.

Like multiferroic systems [37], the properties of magnetic composites are regulated by the interface between the compositional phases. The exchange-spring magnetic coupling is sensitive to the grain boundaries and the ratio of soft magnetic grain size to hard magnetic domain wall width [24]. For ferrite composites, the variations in anisotropy and exchange stiffness at the interface are less marked than those in metallic composite magnets. Also, the grain size of smaller CoFe₂O₄ particles in Fig. 3a are in the same order of the BaFe₁₂O₁₉ domain wall width to promote magnetic coupling [24]. However, enhancing the exchange-spring magnetic coupling between ferrite particles is challenging because the interface is not as clearly defined and controlled as in layered structures [8,24]. Increasing the maximum energy product in particulate composites to meet the requirement for the application as permanent magnets remains elusive.

Although the exchange-spring magnetic coupling across the interface cannot be analyzed in this study, the results in Table 1 show that magnetic properties of BaFe₁₂O₁₉ can be regulated with parameters in fabrication. The coercivity is monotonously decreased with the CoFe₂O₄ addition. Interestingly, the plot in Fig. 5 exhibits a linear reduction in the maximum energy product with the bulk density of magnets from 3.15 to 3.59 g/cm³ in this study.

4. Conclusions

The coercivity and magnetization of BaFe₁₂O₁₉ magnets are modified by ball-milling and pressing with sol–gel-derived CoFe₂O₄. Because the CoFe₂O₄ has higher magnetizations than BaFe₁₂O₁₉, the BaFe₁₂O₁₉/CoFe₂O₄ magnets with the ratio of 4:1 exhibit higher remanent and saturation magnetizations than those of BaFe₁₂O₁₉. However, adding CoFe₂O₄ reduces the coercivity of BaFe₁₂O₁₉, and the maximum energy product BaFe₁₂O₁₉/CoFe₂O₄ magnets (0.4329 MGOe) is slightly less than that of the BaFe₁₂O₁₉ magnet (0.4529 MGOe). For the BaFe₁₂O₁₉/CoFe₂O₄ magnets with a ratio of 2:1, both coercivity and remanent magnetization are decreased, reducing the maximum energy product to only 0.3238 MGOe. The maximum energy product of BaFe₁₂O₁₉ and BaFe₁₂O₁₉/CoFe₂O₄ magnets in this study is linearly decreased with the reduction in the bulk density from 3.15 to 3.59 g/cm³.
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