Representing quantum spins in different coordinate systems for modelling rigid body orientation

Nadjet Zioui
Département de Génie Mécanique, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, 3351 Boulevard des Forges, Trois-Rivières, QC G8Z 4M3, Canada, nadjet.ziou@uqtr.ca

Aicha Mahmoudi
(Projet Véo, Université de Sherbrooke, 2500 Bd de l'Université, Sherbrooke, QC J1K 2R1

Mohamed Tadjine
LCP (Laboratoire de Commande des Processus), École Nationale Polytechnique d'Alger, c 10 Rue des Frères OUDEK, El Harrach 16200, Algeria

Follow this and additional works at: https://kijoms.uokerbala.edu.iq/home

Part of the Biology Commons, Chemistry Commons, Computer Sciences Commons, and the Physics Commons

Recommended Citation
Ziou, Nadjet; Mahmoudi, Aicha; and Tadjine, Mohamed (2023) "Representing quantum spins in different coordinate systems for modelling rigid body orientation," Karbala International Journal of Modern Science: Vol. 9 : Iss. 3 , Article 11.
Available at: https://doi.org/10.33640/2405-609X.3313

This Research Paper is brought to you for free and open access by Karbala International Journal of Modern Science. It has been accepted for inclusion in Karbala International Journal of Modern Science by an authorized editor of Karbala International Journal of Modern Science. For more information, please contact abdulateef1962@gmail.com.
Representing quantum spins in different coordinate systems for modelling rigid body orientation

Abstract
Various methods for representing the spatial orientation and rotation of objects are presented and compared with the quantum bit state representation. By contrasting spherical, Euler angle, quaternion, and quantum spin coordinate systems, this work highlights important concepts regarding the rotation axis of the X gate. Several ambiguities and incomplete definitions associated with the qubit state representation are discussed, such as the spin around the qubit itself and the explanation of the considered rotation angles and signs. A mathematical analysis of the physical meaning of each eigenstate is provided along with a new comprehensive and meaningful YPR-based 3D representation of a qubit state.

Keywords
Qubit state; Quantum spins; 3D rotations; Spherical coordinates; Yaw, pitch, and roll angles; Quaternions.

Creative Commons License
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 License.
Representing Quantum Spins in Different Coordinate Systems for Modelling Rigid Body Orientation

Nadjet Zioui a,⁎, Aicha Mahmoudi b, Mohamed Tadjine c

a Département de Génie Mécanique, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, 3351 Boulevard des Forges, Trois-Rivières, QC G8Z 4M3, Canada
b Projet Véo, Université de Sherbrooke, 2500 Bd de l’Université, Sherbrooke, QC J1K 2R1, Canada
c LCP (Laboratoire de Commande des Processus), École Nationale Polytechnique d’Alger, c 10 Rue des Frères OUDEK, El Harrach, 16200, Algeria

Abstract

Various methods for representing the spatial orientation and rotation of objects are presented and compared with the quantum bit state representation. By contrasting spherical, Euler angle, quaternion, and quantum spin coordinate systems, this work highlights important concepts regarding the rotation axis of the X gate. Several ambiguities and incomplete definitions associated with the qubit state representation are discussed, such as the spin around the qubit itself and the explanation of the considered rotation angles and signs. A mathematical analysis of the physical meaning of each eigenstate is provided along with a new comprehensive and meaningful YPR-based 3D representation of a qubit state.

Keywords: Qubit state, Quantum spins, 3D rotations, Spherical coordinates, Yaw, pitch, and roll angles, Quaternions

1. Introduction

Computing the orientation of rigid bodies is an important task in many fields of engineering, including robotics and aeronautics. Specifically in robotics, orientation plays a fundamental role in numerous industrial, medical, and surgical applications.

Various methods are commonly used to model and represent the orientation of a rigid body, such as the spherical coordinates and the Euler angles, or the yaw, pitch, and roll (YPR) angles. These methods use $3 \times 3$ matrices to hold the projection coordinates of the three unit vectors, which makes them memory- and resource-intensive. In contrast, compact methods have also been developed, such as quaternions and dual quaternions. This representation uses only four components: one real and three imaginary parts. All the above methods have been successfully used in multiple applications; however, they are all designed for classical computers.

With the advent of quantum computers, new challenges arise concerning the modelling and control of rigid body orientation using quantum tools, as well as establishing bridges between classical and quantum methods. Quantum computing is expected to vastly outperform conventional computers. Thus, quantum computing methods have been developed and implemented in various fields and applications [1—6]. For example, qubit states have been used to model the position and orientation of an industrial robotic arm end effector using the equivalence between the Pauli gates and quaternions [7]. A method of defining the end effector orientation using a single qubit state based on the elementary rotation gates is more efficient compared with the results obtained using YPR angles based on a homogenous matrix [8]. Moreover, a quantum forward kinematics model has been introduced for an industrial robotic arm, in which a
systematic formulation of the D-H matrix is presented using a single qubit state [9]. Owing to the complexity of the quantum representation using only two components to express a qubit state, especially compared with the intuitive representation in three-dimensional (3D) vector space, the real-world meaning of this new formalism remains somewhat obscure. We have found no published validation of each eigenstate in terms of quantum computing basics.

In the present study, we focus on the fundamental quantum spins, or rotations, to compare the spherical, Euler angle, and quaternion orientation representations, to help clarify the true physical meaning of the quantum states in the intuitive 3D space.

The proposed work highlights three significant concerns and suggests potential solutions: first, the lack of information in the current Bloch representation of a qubit state in relation to the self-spin angle (around the oz axis); second, the basic specifications of the axes in 3D space that do not correspond to the existing representation; and third, the ratio of the oy axis rotation angle must be considered, not only for sandwich products but also to indicate the genuine rotation angles in real-world 3D space.

The findings of this work may help to establish quantum versions of several conventional computing concepts and thus provide a starting point for a variety of real-world applications that require appropriate and optimal orientation models, such as quadrotors, quadcopters, UAVs, and UGVs [10–14], mobile robots, and robotic manipulators [15].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The qubit and quantum operators

A qubit is the basic element of information in quantum computing. Whereas a conventional bit has two possible values (0 or 1), a qubit state has infinite possible values that can each be expressed as a linear combination of the two eigenstates 0 and 1, denoted by |0⟩ and |1⟩, respectively, as represented in equation (1) [16–18].

\[ |q⟩ = α|0⟩ + β|1⟩ \]  

Where \( α \) and \( β \) are the projections of the qubit state \( |q⟩ \) on the eigenstates |0⟩ and |1⟩, respectively. They are complex numbers, such that \( |α|^2 + |β|^2 = 1 \), and can be expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
α &= Re|0⟩ + iIm|0⟩ \\
β &= Re|1⟩ + iIm|1⟩
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

Where \( Re|0⟩ \) and \( Im|0⟩ \) are the real and imaginary components of \( α \), \( Re|1⟩ \) and \( Im|1⟩ \) are the real and imaginary components of \( β \), and \( i \) is a purely imaginary number, such that \( i^2 = -1 \). The eigenstates |0⟩ and |1⟩ are usually represented in the two-dimensional (2D) vector space as |0⟩ = \[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\] and |1⟩ = \[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\].

A single qubit state is usually represented in the 3D space using the Bloch sphere, as illustrated in Fig. 1, which corresponds to the mathematical expression of equation (3) [19,20].

\[
|q⟩ = \cos \frac{θ}{2}|0⟩ + \sin \frac{θ}{2}e^{iφ}|1⟩ = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{θ}{2} \\ \sin \frac{θ}{2}e^{iφ} \end{pmatrix}
\]  

(3)

Several operators exist, called quantum operators, which can alter a qubit’s state. Quantum operators or gates can be expressed using four basic Hermitian matrices, known as the Pauli matrices (i.e., \( σ_0, σ_x, σ_y, \) and \( σ_z \)), as defined in expressions (4) through (7) [21].

\[
σ_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]  

(4)

\[
σ_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]  

(5)

![Fig. 1. The Bloch sphere representation of a single qubit state.](image)
The Pauli matrices, \( \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, \) and \( \sigma_0, \) are also known as the X, Y, Z, and identity gates, respectively. Any quantum operator can be expressed in terms of the Pauli gates, including the basic spins \( R_x(\psi), R_y(\theta), \) and \( R_z(\phi), \) or their respective rotations \( \psi, \theta, \) and \( \phi \) around the axes ox, oy, and oz, as shown in equation (8) through (10) [8].

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_y &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\sigma_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

(7)

Where \( R_x(\psi) = \cos \frac{\psi}{2} \sigma_0 - i \sigma_x \sin \frac{\psi}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} C_\psi & -iS_\psi \\ -iS_\psi & C_\psi \end{pmatrix} \) (8)

\[
R_y(\theta) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sigma_0 - i \sigma_y \sin \frac{\theta}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} C_\theta & -S_\theta \\ S_\theta & C_\theta \end{pmatrix}
\]

(9)

\[
R_z(\phi) = \cos \frac{\phi}{2} \sigma_0 - i \sigma_z \sin \frac{\phi}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\phi/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}
\]

(10)

Where \( C_\theta \) and \( S_\theta \) are the cosine and sine of the angle \( \theta \).

2.2. The spherical coordinates

Spherical coordinates allow for the representation of a vector in the 3D space using two angles, \( \theta \) and \( \phi, \) as illustrated in Fig. 2. A matrix transformation is defined to express the unit vectors \( u_\psi \) and \( u_\theta, \) as expressed in relationship (11) [22,23].

\[
M_{\psi\theta}(\theta, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} S_\theta C_\phi & C_\theta C_\phi & -S_\phi \\ S_\theta S_\phi & C_\theta S_\phi & C_\phi \\ C_\theta & -S_\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(11)

The first column of the matrix \( M(\theta, \phi) \) holds the coordinates of the unit vector denoted by \( u_\phi, \) and the second column holds the coordinates of unit vector \( u_\theta. \) Only two unit vectors are used in the spherical representation. The third unit vector contained in the matrix is denoted by \( u_\psi. \) This last unit vector is obtained using the right-hand rule to complete the 3D orthonormal frame. This matrix should be expressed as the product of two elementary rotations, around the oz and oy axes, as shown in relationship (12). However, matrix

\[
R_{3D}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} S_\theta & C_\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(12)

Where \( R_{3D}(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} C_\phi & -S_\phi \\ S_\phi & C_\phi \end{pmatrix} \) and \( R_{3D}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} C_\theta & 0 \\ 0 & S_\theta \end{pmatrix} \) are the elementary rotation matrices expressed in 3D space.

2.3. The yaw, pitch, and roll (YPR) angles

YPR angles provide another way to represent a vector in the 3D space. Widely used in robotics and aerospace engineering to model the orientation of rigid bodies, these three angles represent the body's orientation using three elementary rotations \( R_{3D}(\psi), R_{3D}(\theta), \) and \( R_{3D}(\phi) \) around the ox, oy, and oz axes, respectively, as illustrated in Fig. 3. The resulting transformation matrix is provided in expression (13) [24].

\[
M_{YPR}(\psi, \theta, \phi) = R_{3D}(\phi) R_{3D}(\theta) R_{3D}(\psi)
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix} C_\theta C_\phi & S_\psi S_\theta C_\phi - C_\psi S_\phi & C_\psi S_\theta C_\phi + S_\psi S_\phi \\ C_\theta S_\phi & S_\psi S_\theta C_\phi + C_\psi S_\phi & C_\psi S_\theta C_\phi - S_\psi S_\phi \\ -S_\theta & S_\psi C_\phi & C_\psi C_\theta \end{pmatrix}
\]

(13)

Where \( R_{3D}(\psi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_\psi & -S_\psi \\ 0 & S_\psi & C_\psi \end{pmatrix}. \)
2.4. The quaternions

Quaternions are hyper-complex numbers with one real and three imaginary components. A typical quaternion \( q \) can be expressed by equation (14) [25,26].

\[
q = r + xi + yj + zk
\]  
\[\text{(14)}\]

Where \( r \) is the real component, \( x, y, \) and \( z \) are the imaginary components, and \( i, j, \) and \( k \) are the three purely imaginary numbers, such that \( i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1 \) and \( ij = k, \ jk = i, \) and \( ki = j. \) Quaternions can be used to describe the translation or rotation of a rigid body and have been extensively used in the kinematic modelling of robotics [27–33]. Equation (15) defines the translation, and relations (16) express the three elementary rotations \( \psi, \theta, \) and \( \phi, \) around the three axes \( ox, oy, \) and \( oz, \) respectively [8,9].

\[
\begin{aligned}
q &= xi + yj + zk \\
q_x(\psi) &= \cos \frac{\psi}{2} + i \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \\
q_y(\theta) &= \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + j \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
q_z(\varphi) &= \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} + k \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}
\end{aligned}
\]  
\[\text{(15)}\]

2.5. Methodology

The parallels between the above methods are described below to help clarify how the quantum spins method can achieve the same result. The various transform equivalences developed in each subsection are summarised in Fig. 4.

2.5.1. From the spherical to the YPR coordinates

An intuitive way of finding the equivalence between the spherical and YPR representations is to set the angle \( \psi \) to 0, which leads to relation (17).

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
C\theta C\varphi & -S\varphi & S\theta C\varphi \\
C\theta S\varphi & C\varphi & S\theta S\varphi \\
-S\theta & 0 & C\theta
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
\[\text{(17)}\]

The obtained matrix is the result of a permutation between the columns of the matrix in relationship (11). A transformation matrix \( M_{S-Y} \) (or \( M_{Y-S} \)) is necessary to switch from one representation to the other, as follows:

\[
M_{YPR}(\psi = 0, \theta, \varphi) = M_{SPH}(\theta, \varphi) M_{S-Y}
\]  
\[\text{(18)}\]

\[
M_{SPH}(\theta, \varphi) = M_{YPR}(\psi = 0, \theta, \varphi) M_{Y-S}
\]  
\[\text{(19)}\]

Where \( M_{S-Y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( M_{Y-S} = M_{S-Y}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \).

Relationships (18) and (19) state that the \( ox \) axis in the YPR representation is equivalent to the \( oy \) axis from the spherical representation, and the YPR representation's \( oy \) and \( oz \) axes are equivalent to the spherical representation's \( oz \) and \( ox \) axes, respectively, with the yaw angle considered to be 0.

2.5.2. The qubit-to-spherical representation

The principal source of inspiration for the Bloch sphere and the qubit state in equation (3) is the spherical coordinate system with angle half-values, whereby the \( oz \) axis is considered to be the qubit eigenvector \( |0\rangle, \) or eigenstate, and the unit vector \( u_\psi \) is obtained as the result of applying the elementary rotations to this axis. The equivalences between the spherical and quantum expressions of a qubit state are illustrated in Fig. 5.
In the current quantum computing formalism, or Bloch sphere representation, eigenstate $|1\rangle$ is considered to be on the opposite side of the oz axis and hence linearly dependent on eigenstate $|0\rangle$, which leads to ambiguity because the two eigenstates are supposed to form the basis of a linear independence. One solution to this might be to consider half the rotation angles in relations (8) to (10), where the angle $\theta$ is divided by 2 and ranges from 0 to $\pi$ instead of 0 to $2\pi$. This results in an equivalent representation of the spherical coordinates and mathematically brings the eigenstate $|1\rangle$ to the right plane (ox, oy). However, this introduces ambiguity for the two remaining rotation angles because they must range from 0 to $2\pi$.

Finally, the qubit, as represented in the Bloch sphere and formulated in equation (3), does not consider the rotation of a qubit state around itself, and thus the equation is missing the third fundamental rotation $\psi$. Therefore, the eigenvector representation and the basic rotations in quantum computing need to be reformulated in greater detail.

### 2.5.3. The qubit-to-quaternion transformation

As quaternions have been widely used to model the rigid body's position and orientation, a useful first step towards a more coherent quantum representation of the possible qubit states is defining an equivalence and transformation from qubit states to quaternions. This qubit-to-quaternion transformation requires the equivalence to be established first between the Pauli matrices and the quaternions.

Expression (20) shows the representation of a given quaternion $q = r + x i + y j + z k$ in the 2D vector space [7]. Since a quaternion can be used to express the result of an operation, or an operator, expressing a quaternion using the Pauli matrices reveals its relationship to a qubit state and thus constitutes a first step towards a quantum transformation.

$$
q = \begin{pmatrix} r + ix & y + iz \\ -y + iz & r - ix \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + i x \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - i y \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + iz \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r\sigma_0 + i x\sigma_x - i y\sigma_y + i z\sigma_z.
$$

Relationship (20) states that for a given operator, the quantum and quaternion representations share a common real component and one of the imaginary components, which is equivalent to the oz axis projection. However, the ox axis component of a quaternion appears to correspond to the Pauli $z$ component, and the z component corresponds to the $x$ component, both with negative signs.

Applying this quaternion operator to the oz axis unit vector $u_z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ leads to quaternion $q_z = (-z, y, -x, r)^T$:

$$
qu_z = \begin{pmatrix} r + ix & y + iz \\ -y + iz & r - ix \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z + iy & -x + ir \\ x + ir & -z - iy \end{pmatrix}
$$

To fully clarify the relationship between the two representations, relationship (20) is rewritten in terms of the basic rotations, considering relations (16), such that the basic rotation matrices result in equations (22)–(24).

These can be compared with their equivalent basic rotations expressed in the quantum formulation in equations (8)–(10).

$$
q_x = \cos \frac{\psi}{2}\sigma_0 + i \sin \frac{\psi}{2}\sigma_x.
$$

$$
q_y = \cos \frac{\psi}{2}\sigma_0 - i \sin \frac{\psi}{2}\sigma_y.
$$

$$
q_z = \cos \frac{\psi}{2}\sigma_0 + i \sin \frac{\psi}{2}\sigma_z.
$$

At a glance, these three equations imply the following:

The oz axis, which is considered the reference axis in the quantum formulation, appears to be equivalent to the ox axis in the quaternion representation, and the rotation angle around the qubit’s oz axis is equivalent to the quaternion’s $-\psi$ angle.

The oy axis appears to be the same in both representations.

The qubit’s ox axis appears to be equivalent to the quaternion’s oz axis, and the rotation angle around the qubit’s ox axis is equivalent to the quaternion’s $-\phi$ angle.

In other words, to obtain the same operator matrix result in quantum computing as that obtained in quaternions, the operator $R_x(-\phi)R_y(\theta)R_z(-\psi)$ should be used rather than $R_z(\psi)R_y(\theta)R_x(\phi)$. Similarly, switching from the qubit representation to the quaternions implies the use of the transform $R_z(-\psi)R_y(\theta)R_x(-\phi)$. 
2.5.4. The quaternions-to-YPR transformation

There are several ways of transposing quaternions into YPR angles for representing orientations [34,35]. The following relationships can be used to go from YRP to quaternions (25) and from quaternions to YPR (26) [34].

\[
\begin{align*}
    r &= C_2 \psi S_2 \phi + S_2 \psi S_2 S_2 \\
    x &= -C_2 \psi S_2 \phi + S_2 \psi S_2 S_2 \\
    y &= C_2 \psi S_2 \phi + S_2 \psi S_2 S_2 \\
    z &= -S_2 \psi S_2 \phi + C_2 \psi S_2 S_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

(25)

The components of a qubit state are expressed in greater detail as follows:

\[
\psi = \frac{1}{2} \sin(2(ry - zv))
\]

(26a)

\[
\theta = \frac{1}{2} \arctan 2(2(rz + yz), 1 - 2(x^2 + y^2))
\]

(26b)

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{2} \arctan 2(2(rz + xy), 1 - 2(y^2 + z^2))
\]

(26c)

The corresponding homogenous matrix can then be deduced as follows:

\[
M_{YPR}(\psi, \theta, \phi) = R_{SD}(\phi)R_{SD}(\theta)R_{SD}(\psi)
\]

(27)

2.5.5. The qubit-to-YPR transformation

The equivalence between the qubit state and the YPR angles can be established using the three elementary rotation transformations in both representations, as well as the equivalence between the quaternions and the YPR angles, as shown above (subsection 3.3).

In 3D vector space, the matrix (13) defines the transformation resulting from applying the \( \phi, \theta, \) and \( \psi \) basic rotations around the oz, oy, and ox axes, respectively. Similarly, in quantum computing, the application of the three elementary rotations \( R_\phi(\phi), R_\theta(\theta), \) and \( R_\psi(\psi) \) results in the following operator:

\[
R_\phi(\phi)R_\theta(\theta)R_\psi(\psi) = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
    C^{\psi} S^{\phi} + i S^{\psi} S^{\phi} & e^{i \phi} & 0 \\
    C^{\psi} S^{\phi} - i S^{\psi} S^{\phi} & e^{-i \phi} & 0 \\
    i S^{\psi} S^{\phi} & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(28)

Applying the rotation operator (28) to the initial qubit state \( |0 \rangle \) results in the qubit state \( |q \rangle \), defined as follows:

\[
|q \rangle = R_\psi(\psi)R_\theta(\theta)R_\phi(\phi)|0 \rangle = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
    C^{\psi} S^{\theta} + i S^{\psi} S^{\theta} & e^{i \phi} & 0 \\
    C^{\psi} S^{\theta} - i S^{\psi} S^{\theta} & e^{-i \phi} & 0 \\
    i S^{\psi} S^{\theta} & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(29)

The components of a qubit state are expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    Re_{(0)} &= C_2 \psi S_2 \phi + S_2 \psi S_2 S_2 \\
    Im_{(0)} &= S_2 \psi S_2 \phi - C_2 \psi S_2 S_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

(30a)

\[
\begin{align*}
    Re_{(1)} &= C_2 \psi S_2 \phi + S_2 \psi S_2 S_2 \\
    Im_{(1)} &= S_2 \psi S_2 \phi - C_2 \psi S_2 S_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

(30b)

Expression (29), or the detailed version (30), appears to be the most complete way to define and express a given qubit state. They also establish the transformation from the YPR angles to the quantum computing domain. Establishing the inverse transformation implies the comparison of expressions (25) and (30). This reveals the following relationships between a quaternion and qubit state components:

\[
\begin{align*}
    Re_{(0)} &= r \\
    Im_{(0)} &= -z \quad (31a) \\
    Re_{(1)} &= y \\
    Im_{(1)} &= -x \quad (31b)
\end{align*}
\]

Relationship (31) supports and generalises the result obtained in Section 3.2. Moreover, considering relations (27) and (31), the homogenous orientation matrix can be derived directly from the qubit state’s representation shown below:

\[
M_{YPR}(\psi, \theta, \phi) = R_\psi(\psi)R_\theta(\theta)R_\phi(\psi)
\]
Results and discussion

Based on the concepts developed and analysed above, it appears that a quaternion can be expressed using a qubit state as follows:

\[ q = Re_{0} - Im_{1} i + Re_{1} j - Im_{0} k \]  

Furthermore, a qubit state can be expressed using a given unit quaternion as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Asin}(2(Re_{0}Re_{1} - Im_{0}Im_{1})) \\
\theta &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Atan} 2\left(-2(Re_{0} Im_{1} + Re_{1} Im_{0}), 1 - 2(Im_{1}^2 + Re_{1}^2)\right) \\
\phi &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Atan} 2\left(-2(Re_{0} Im_{0} + Re_{1} Im_{1}), 1 - 2(Re_{1}^2 + Im_{1}^2)\right)
\end{align*}
\]  

3.2. Simulation results

Fig. 6 depicts the quantum circuit used to compute the qubit states corresponding to several YPR angles.

Fig. 7 shows the variations in the YPR angles, whereas Fig. 8 summarises the qubit states for each angle value computed using the online quantum simulator [36].

Fig. 9 shows the resulting qubit states computed using the online quantum simulator along with the equivalent quaternion calculations performed using Matlab software.

The results were obtained using the quantum operator \( R_{z}(-\psi)R_{y}(\theta)R_{x}(\phi) \) applied to the initial qubit state \( |0\rangle \). This results in a qubit state that matches the quaternion components, such that \( Re_{0} = r, Im_{0} = x, Re_{1} = y, \) and \( Im_{1} = z \). The orientation of the end effector varies according to a fifth-order polynomial [37] with the following initial and final conditions:

This also establishes the calculation of the YPR angles from a given qubit state, as shown in (33).
equivalence between the quaternions and the qubit states (Section 3.2) appears to be effective. Moreover, the YPR angles are divided by 2 in both representations because of the sandwich product of the transformations and not as a result of the developed representation of the qubit state or the angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ranging in principle from 0 to $\pi$ radians. Therefore, we also multiply the angles by 2 in the simulation.

### 3.3. Further analysis

From equations (13), (27), (30) and (31), the 3D representation of the oz axis undergoing the three elementary rotations, or its final orientation represented by a vector $v$, can be expressed as shown in formula (36) using the quaternion’s components, or using the qubit state’s components as shown in equation (37), or using the YPR angles as shown in relationship (38).

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 2ry + 2xz \\ 2yz - 2rx \\ r^2 - x^2 - y^2 + z^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(36)

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 2Re_{(0)}Re_{(1)} + 2Im_{(0)}Im_{(1)} \\ -2Im_{(0)}Re_{(1)} - 2Re_{(0)}Im_{(1)} \\ Re_{(0)}^2 - Im_{(1)}^2 - Re_{(1)}^2 + Im_{(0)}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(37)

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} C\psi\theta C\varphi + S\psi S\varphi \\ C\psi\theta S\varphi - S\psi C\varphi \\ C\psi C\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

(38)

Setting the angles $\psi = 0$, $\theta = 0$, and $\varphi = 0$ for both the YPR angles and qubit states in the quantum computing representation developed in this article leads to the following expressions:

$$M_{YPR}(0,0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$Re_{(0)} = 1 \quad Im_{(0)} = 0$$

(39)

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

or $|q| = |0\rangle$

Using $\psi = 0$, $\theta = \pi$, and $\varphi = 0$ gives the following result:

$$M_{YPR}(0,\pi,0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$Re_{(0)} = 0 \quad Im_{(0)} = 0$$

(40)

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

or $|q| = |1\rangle$

Using $\psi = 0$, $\theta = 0$ and $\varphi = \pi$ gives the following result:
around the quantum ox axis leads to state $|i\rangle$ (41), which is also in the opposite direction of the oz axis, and a rotation $\pi$ around the oz axis inverts the sign of both orientations of the oy and oz axes and leaves the ox axis unchanged. This implies that the X gate is actually a $\pi$ rotation around the oy axis and the Y gate is actually a $\pi$ rotation around the ox axis.

Performing the same operations with half YPR angles gives the following:

$$M_{YPR}(0, 0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$|q\rangle = |0\rangle$$

Using $\psi = 0$, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\varphi = 0$ gives the following result:

$$M_{YPR}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$|q\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} |0\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} |1\rangle$$

Using $\psi = 0$, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\varphi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ gives the following result:

$$M_{YPR}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$|q\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle$$

It is tempting to conclude that assuming the quantum computing angles to be twice their equivalent YPR angles leads to the same result in both representations, as relations (42), (43), and (44)
imply. However, this is inconsistent with the gate reversibility. For example, applying equation (42) twice gives $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ instead of the expected result $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, whereas applying $R_y(\pi)$ twice to state $|0\rangle$ gives the same initial state $|0\rangle$, owing to the quantum gate's fundamental reversibility.

The relationship between the YPR and quantum spin representations appears to apply to half angles in the former case versus double angles in the latter case.

To summarise, a reference frame $(o_0 x_0 y_0 z_0)$ is considered to be described in the YPR representation as shown below:

\[
\begin{align*}
(ox) &\equiv (o_0 x_0) \\
(oy) &\equiv (o_0 y_0) \\
(oz) &\equiv (o_0 z_0)
\end{align*}
\] (45)

The axes are therefore described as follows in the spherical representation:

\[
\begin{align*}
(ox) &\equiv (o_0 x_0) \\
(oy) &\equiv (o_0 y_0) \\
(oz) &\equiv (o_0 z_0)
\end{align*}
\] (46)

The axes are described as follows in the quaternion's representation:

\[
\begin{align*}
(ox) &\equiv -(o_0 z_0) \\
(oy) &\equiv (o_0 y_0) \\
(oz) &\equiv -(o_0 x_0)
\end{align*}
\] (47)

The axes are described as follows in the quantum computing-based representation:

\[
\begin{align*}
(ox) &\equiv (o_0 x_0), \text{considering } 2\psi \\
(oy) &\equiv (o_0 y_0), \text{considering } 2\theta \\
(oz) &\equiv (o_0 z_0), \text{considering } 2\phi
\end{align*}
\] (48)

Moreover, the quantum states corresponding to the three axes should be obtainable using a systematic approach, for instance, based on the basic rotations. Representing the three unit vectors of the ox, oy, and oz axes ($u_x$, $u_y$, and $u_z$) using their quantum qubit states $\begin{pmatrix} a_x \\ b_x \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} a_y \\ b_y \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} a_z \\ b_z \end{pmatrix}$, a $\frac{\pi}{2}$ rotation (in quantum computing) around the ox axis on the qubit state $\begin{pmatrix} a_y \\ b_y \end{pmatrix}$ should result in the oz axis or qubit state $\begin{pmatrix} a_z \\ b_z \end{pmatrix}$. The same rotation around the oy axis on the qubit state $\begin{pmatrix} a_z \\ b_z \end{pmatrix}$ should result in the ox axis or qubit state $\begin{pmatrix} a_x \\ b_x \end{pmatrix}$, and likewise around

Fig. 9. Quaternion and qubit state results for each angle range.
the oz axis on the qubit state \( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \beta_x \end{pmatrix} \) should result in
the oy axis or qubit state \( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_y \\ \beta_y \end{pmatrix} \). This can be
expressed in the three relationships shown below:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_y \\ \beta_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_z \\ \beta_z \end{pmatrix} \tag{49}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_z \\ \beta_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \beta_x \end{pmatrix} \tag{50}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \beta_x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_y \\ \beta_y \end{pmatrix} \tag{51}
\]

These expressions lead to the following system
under the condition \(|\alpha_x|^2 + |\beta_x|^2 = |\alpha_y|^2 + |\beta_y|^2 = 1\):

\[
\begin{align*}
-i \beta_y &= \alpha_z \\
-i \alpha_y &= \beta_z \\
-\beta_z &= \alpha_x \\
\alpha_z &= \beta_x \\
-i \alpha_x &= \alpha_y \\
i \beta_x &= \beta_y
\end{align*}
\tag{52}
\]

An infinite number of possible solutions exist
for system (52). One of these solutions considers the
qubit state \( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \beta_x \end{pmatrix} \) as \( |0\rangle \), with \( \alpha_x = 1 \) and \( \beta_x = 0 \). The
remaining qubit state \( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_y \\ \beta_y \end{pmatrix} \) can then be considered
as \( |1\rangle \) with \( \alpha_y = 0 \) and \( \beta_y = 1 \), and the state \( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_y \\ \beta_y \end{pmatrix} \) as
\( i|1\rangle \) with \( \alpha_y = 0 \) and \( \beta_y = i \). The oz, ox, and oy axes
thus appear to correspond to states \( |0\rangle \), \( |1\rangle \), and \( i|1\rangle \), respectively.

Other possible solutions lead to the same qubit
states but with permutations. That is, for instance,
the states \( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \beta_x \end{pmatrix} \), \( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_y \\ \beta_y \end{pmatrix} \), and \( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \beta_x \end{pmatrix} \) become \( |1\rangle \), \( i|1\rangle \),
and \( |0\rangle \), respectively.

A more convenient and realistic representation of
the qubit state and the quantum eigenstates can be
illustrated, as shown in Fig. 10.

\[\text{Fig. 10. Proposed comprehensive representation of a qubit state.}\]

3.4. Validation of the proposed representation

To validate the proposed representation, the ox,
oy, and oz axes, corresponding respectively to
the states \( |1\rangle \), \( i|1\rangle \), and \( |0\rangle \), are rotated over an arc
spanning \( \pi \) radians, equivalent to \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) in the 3D vector
space. A \( \pi \) rotation of the oz axis around the ox axis
should lead successively to \(-oy\), \(-oz\), and then \( oy \). A
similar rotation of the ox axis around oy should lead
to \(-oz\), \(-ox\), and then \( oz \). Similarly, rotating the oy
axis around oz over \( \pi \) radians should lead to \(-ox\),
\(-oy\), and then \( ox \). These transitions are indicated
in the matrix relations expressed below.

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \tag{53.a}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{53.b}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \tag{53.c}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{54.a}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{54.b}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{54.c}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{55.a}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{55.b}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{55.c}
\]
4. Conclusion

This article presents an original and comprehensive analysis of several mathematical tools used to define the spatial rotation of rigid bodies and examines their equivalences in the context of quantum spins as an effective model for describing object orientations.

The development of the proposed quantum representation of a qubit state raises a few conceptual problems, such as the linear interdependency of the two eigenvectors, incomplete information on the spin of a qubit around itself, and the proper definition of the 2D quantum vector representation in terms of the classical 3D axes.

The proposed work addresses three major issues and proposes solutions to them. First, regarding the lack of information in the current Bloch representation of a qubit state in reference to the self-spin angle (around the oz axis), a comprehensive representation that contains the roll rotation, which is required in various practical applications, is proposed. Second, regarding the essential specification of the 3D axes that do not correspond to the existing representation, the current depiction uses half angles and assumes aligned ox and oz axes, which contradicts the rational and widely accepted 3D representation. The proposed representation addresses this by including a proper characterisation of the three fundamental spatial axes.

Third, the ratio of the oy axis rotation angle must be considered, not only for sandwich products but also to accurately represent the genuine rotation angles in 3D space.

Several equivalences are found to exist between the different representations of rotation and quantum spins. The new comprehensive and more intuitive way of representing a qubit state may help guide future studies aimed at modelling orientation-based processes using a single qubit state for various applications in quantum computing, such as industrial robots, autonomous vehicles, and aircraft.
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